

# Digital Transformation Maturity Model

1

Igor Ilin, Alexandra Borremans, Anastasia Levina, and Manfred Esser

2

**Abstract** Currently, digitalization has become a key engine for the development of all industries. More and more enterprises are focusing on the digitalization of their processes and the introduction of digital services. However, the transition from business to digital is quite complex and requires a gradual transition. This chapter raises questions of the maturity of various enterprises and their processes, as well as criteria and attributes for assessing maturity. In addition, a comparative analysis of some of the existing maturity models is carried out. As a result of the study, a five-level model for assessing the maturity of digital enterprises and transformation in them is presented, which was developed on the basis of modern maturity models, such as CMMI, OPM3, and others. Moreover, the levels of maturity and the criteria for their achievement, as well as the stages of transition between them, are described.

**Keywords** Maturity model · Digital transformation · Digitalization · Digital maturity · Company assessment

14

15

## 1 Introduction

16

Nowadays, the question of enterprises' digital maturity is quite relevant in the modern developing world, where digitalization, business transformation, and the introduction of the latest IT technologies have come to the fore. The tendency of the information community to qualitatively change the management of enterprises determines the development of the economy, an increase in labor efficiency and an improvement in the quality of life. Companies need to understand how to conduct

---

I. Ilin · A. Borremans · A. Levina (✉)

Graduate School of Business and Management, Peter the Great Saint-Petersburg Polytechnic University, St. Petersburg, Russia

M. Esser

Get IT, Grevenbroich, Germany

23 business in a changing environment, what strategies and management methods to  
24 use in order to maintain their competitiveness in the future.

25 All companies are at different stages of their development and have different  
26 business processes, so there is no single algorithm for transformation. It is necessary  
27 to conduct a comprehensive analysis of the use of information technology in the  
28 activities of the company, considering both internal processes and interaction with  
29 the environment, customers, competitors, and partners.

30 In order to clearly understand which processes and models need transformation,  
31 at what stage of development the company is now, they use such a concept as  
32 “digital maturity.”

## 33 **2 Methods**

34 To achieve the goals of this chapter, information from open sources was analyzed on  
35 modern approaches to assessing the maturity of enterprises, their main levels.  
36 Moreover, the existing approaches to the assessment of processes, IT, business,  
37 and IT harmonization were analyzed.

38 According to experts, digital maturity is a cumulative assessment of the level of  
39 development of companies in several important areas of digital transformation, such  
40 as digitization of business processes, digital infrastructure, data-driven management,  
41 the use of customer orientation principles and product value management, R&D and  
42 creation of new products, digital culture, and digital partnership (Colli et al., 2019).

43 In other words, the digital maturity of an enterprise is the level of its readiness to  
44 properly respond to digital innovations in the company’s processes.

45 The maturity of a company can be thought of as milestones that also have some  
46 variation but have common features.

47 Having determined the level of maturity of the company in the field of digital  
48 transformation, it is possible already at the first stage to form a list of changes in the  
49 organization to adapt it to a changing world, both in the external and in the internal  
50 environments. Achieving the desired level is possible only with a clear description of  
51 the further strategy for achieving the required state.

52 Let us introduce a definition of the concept of a maturity model. According to the  
53 ISO standard, the maturity model is a model that reflects the elements necessary for  
54 efficient processes and describes the path of a gradual improvement from immature  
55 processes to regulated, mature processes with higher quality and efficiency. In  
56 contrast, the maturity of an organization’s project management refers to the organi-  
57 zation’s ability to select projects and manage them in the most efficient way to  
58 support the achievement of its strategic objectives (Al-Qutaish & Abran, 2011).

59 Various models for assessing maturity exists:

- 60 – SW CMM
- 61 – Integrated model CMMI
- 62 – ISO 15504 standard

- Model of maturity COBIT 4.1 (COBIT Process Assessment Model, PAM) 63
- SPICE (Software Process Improvement and Capability determination) model 64
- PMMM (Project Management Maturity Model) 65
- OPM3 model (PMI community) 66
- etc. 67

Let us take a closer look at some of them to get a general picture of the existing methodologies for determining the maturity of companies. 68  
69

## 2.1 Capability Maturity Model 70

The most popular model is CMM (Capability Maturity Model for Software), which describes the maturity of software development processes in enterprises, developed by the Software Engineering Institute (USA). The success of the idea lies in the ease of understanding, the practicality of applying the model, and effective advancement from one level to another with significant changes in product quality for the better. This model is focused on optimizing the price–performance ratio (Paulk, 2009). 71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76

## 2.2 Capability Maturity Model Integration 77

In the process of development, the model was refined and received the name CMMI (Capability Maturity Model Integration), which differs in some details, but retains the basic principles of CMM, discreteness of maturity gradations, focus on the project business (Team, 2002). Maturity levels according to the CMMI model are as follows: 1—initial, 2—controlled, 3—definite, 4—quantitatively controlled, and 5—optimized. In Table 1, comparison of SW CMM and CMMI is presented. 78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83

The integration of the models resulted in a five-tier methodology for determining the maturity of enterprises: 84  
85

- Level 1—Initial. The key concept is Heroism. It is characterized by unpredictable, poorly controlled processes that are reactive in nature. The success of the project is determined by the heroism of the staff and the qualifications of individual employees. Projects are often out of budget, results do not meet expectations, and are of poor quality. 86  
87  
88  
89  
90

**Table 1** SW CMM and CMMI comparison

№.	SW CMM	CMMI	
1	Elementary	Elementary	t1.1
2	Repeatable	Managed	t1.2
3	Definite	Definite	t1.3
4	Managed	Quantitatively managed	t1.4
5	Optimizable	Optimizable	t1.5
			t1.6

- 91 – Level 2—Managed. Project and requirements management. All processes in the  
 92 company are planned, they are constantly monitored and controlled. Stakeholders  
 93 are committed in advance and are aware of the state of the product being  
 94 developed at any given time. The generated requirements are almost completely  
 95 consistent with the results of the project and have the proper quality in accordance  
 96 with the standards and goals of the company.
- 97 – Level 3—Defined. Process engineering. Drawing up a unified system of  
 98 approaches of the organization to the standard processes in the company. Each  
 99 project is considered as a set of general processes, described earlier in the  
 100 provisions, which are finalized and improved depending on their tasks. The  
 101 processes and procedures for their adaptation have a formal rigorous form.  
 102 Based on the standards, senior management sets the objectives of the processes  
 103 and monitors their achievement.
- 104 – Level 4—Quantitatively Managed. Process and product quality. At this level, the  
 105 company determines the quantitative characteristics of the quality and perfor-  
 106 mance of processes. Methods of statistical analysis and data processing are  
 107 applied. Indicators that deviate from the norm are being investigated to prevent  
 108 such occurrences in the future. Data analytics allows you to predict the execution  
 109 of processes not only qualitatively, but also quantitatively. The results obtained  
 110 are stored in databases and are used by the management to make decisions on  
 111 process management.
- 112 – Level 5—Optimizing. Continuous process improvement. The company is trying  
 113 to improve the processes taking place during the project. This is due to the  
 114 constant comparison of old quantitative indicators with new ones. Employees  
 115 can monitor the results and independently optimize their activities (Henriques,  
 116 [2018](#)).

### 117 **2.3 COBIT 4.1**

118 The COBIT 4.1 maturity model initially turned out to be difficult to implement in  
 119 practice and did not provide a definite understanding of the state of the company. So,  
 120 the processes could have signs of different levels, not even going in a row, which  
 121 also happened with the attributes, making it difficult to assess the level at which the  
 122 company is located. This led to the loss of a holistic view of her digital maturity  
 123 (Brand & Boonen, [2007](#)).

### 124 **2.4 ISO / IEC 15504**

125 The model was improved and became based on the international standard ISO / IEC  
 126 15504 “Information technology—process assessment.” International Standard  
 127 defines process evaluation as a complete process optimization program or as part

**Table 2** ISO / IEC 15504 levels

Level	Process attributes	Rating score
Level 0	Process initiation	–
Level 1	Process implementation	Mainly or completely
Level 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Process implementation</li> <li>– Implementation management</li> <li>– Work product management</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Completely</li> <li>– Mainly or completely</li> <li>– Mainly or completely</li> </ul>
Level 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Process implementation</li> <li>– Implementation management</li> <li>– Work product management</li> <li>– Process definition</li> <li>– Process deployment</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Completely</li> <li>– Completely</li> <li>– Completely</li> <li>– Mainly or completely</li> <li>– Mainly or completely</li> </ul>
Level 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Process implementation</li> <li>– Implementation management</li> <li>– Work product management</li> <li>– Process definition</li> <li>– Process deployment</li> <li>– Process measurement</li> <li>– Process control</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Completely</li> <li>– Completely</li> <li>– Completely</li> <li>– Completely</li> <li>– Completely</li> <li>– Mainly or completely</li> <li>– Mainly or completely</li> </ul>
Level 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Process implementation</li> <li>– Implementation management</li> <li>– Work product management</li> <li>– Process definition</li> <li>– Process deployment</li> <li>– Process measurement</li> <li>– Process control</li> <li>– Process innovation</li> <li>– Process optimization</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Completely</li> <li>– Completely</li> <li>– Completely</li> <li>– Completely</li> <li>– Completely</li> <li>– Completely</li> <li>– Completely</li> <li>– Mainly or completely</li> <li>– Mainly or completely</li> </ul>

of the process capability. Optimizing processes means continually increasing performance and applying sustainable practices across an organization. Determination of process capabilities according to the standard—correct representation of potential capabilities from ongoing processes (Mesquida et al., 2012, p. 15504).

This standard also presupposes five levels of digital maturity of the company, which directly depends on the maturity of the processes taking place inside (Table 2).

These levels are the following:

- Level 0. Incomplete process. When processes are underway, but have not yet reached it. There is no single basis for systematic approaches to standard processes.
- Level 1. Implemented process. Achievement of the processes of the final stage of their purpose without the use of special management methods.
- Level 2. Guided process. The processes carried out are planned in advance, then subsequently regulated. The processes are monitored, the compliance of the developed product or service with the assigned goals is checked.
- Level 3. Established process. A base of basic processes is being formed, which are standardized and have common control algorithms. The described processes

AU1

146 are used at all stages of the project, but are individually modified in the course of  
 147 implementation for the purpose of the product being developed.

148 – Level 4. Predictable process. The results of the processes at this stage are  
 149 predicted and known in advance. Achievement of certain results is easily con-  
 150 trolled and monitored.

151 – Level 5. Optimization process. Predictable processes are constantly being  
 152 improved to achieve the set business goals (El Emam & Birk, 2000, p. 15504).

153 The levels are arranged in such a way that it is impossible to skip or slip through  
 154 one of them, the transition through the levels is carried out in order. If the company  
 155 decides to skip several levels, then the simultaneous implementation of several  
 156 optimization tools can lead to unpredictable consequences, jeopardizing the entire  
 157 project activities of the company. Each level of maturity forms the basis for the  
 158 rational and efficient implementation of processes at the following levels. However,  
 159 organizations can use and benefit from the implementation of processes that are  
 160 associated with higher levels of maturity than those achieved. All maturity changes  
 161 do not have to be consistent.

162 The levels are determined by the achievement of the process attributes.

163 N—Not achieved—0–15% achievement.

164 H—Partially achieved—15–50% achievement.

165 B—Mainly achieved—50–85% achievement.

166 P—Fully achieved—85–100% achievement.

## 167 **2.5 SPICE**

168 Basic concepts of the SPICE maturity model (ISO / IEC 15504 standard) are:

169 – Practice—An activity that introduces contribution to the objectives of the process  
 170 to increase its capabilities.

171 – Process—A set of interrelated or interacting activities, transforming inputs into  
 172 outputs.

173 – Process assessment attribute—A measurable characteristic of the process capa-  
 174 bility (Mitasiunas & Novickis, 2011).

175 Unlike CMMI, the SPICE maturity model is implemented in only one version—  
 176 continuous representation. Therefore, SPICE defines only the concept of “level of  
 177 opportunity,” which corresponds to the scale of assessing the possibility separately  
 178 the processes taken, and, as a consequence, does not allow make an assessment of  
 179 the organization’s software development process as a whole. Model maturity SPICE  
 180 describes 6 levels of capability. For the process to reach a particular level opportu-  
 181 nities need to be realized process attributes that match the desired level of opportu-  
 182 nity, at a given level. For all processes, the standard defines 9 different attributes.

183 SPICE Model Capability Level List:

**Table 3** Comparison of SPICE and CMM 13.1

SPICE	CMM	
Two-dimensional structure	Sequential, one-dimensional structure	13.2
Allows flexibility in developing an improvement strategy	Contains a predefined development path	13.3
Opportunity levels for every process	One maturity level for all process	13.4
Results need to be simplified	Results are easy to understand	13.5
Results are very detailed	Simplified results	13.6

- Level 0—Process not running 184
- Level 1—Process in progress 185
  - Measurement of process performance 186
- Level 2—Guided process 187
  - 2.1 Performance management 188
  - 2.2 Product Creation Management 189
- Level 3—Established process 190
  - 3.1 Documenting the process 191
  - 3.2 Tracking process resources 192
- Level 4—Predictable process 193
  - 4.1 Process measurement 194
  - 4.2 Process control 195
- Level 5—Optimization Process 196
  - 5.1 Process change 197
  - 5.2 Continuous improvement 198

Despite the fact that the SPICE standard has absorbed the best from a number of other standards, it has not become a simple amalgamation of them. In order to show how SPICE differs from its predecessors, it is advisable to compare SPICE and other well-known standards (Laksono et al., 2019) (Table 3).

Maturity methodologies of process approaches are constantly changing and new models such as OPM3 (Project Management Institute, PMI) model and BPMM appear.

## 2.6 Project Management Maturity Model 206

The Kerzner Project Management Maturity Model (PMMM) is a qualitative assessment of the levels of project management maturity and consists of 5 levels (Kerzner, 2019).

210 The model assumes that many levels are required and detectable, but the order of  
 211 transition from one level to another will remain unchanged.

212 Maturity model levels are the following:

- 213 – Level 1—Terminology. At this level, the organization realizes the importance of  
 214 project management and the need to deeply master the basic knowledge of project  
 215 management and study the terminology that accompanies it.
- 216 – Level 2—General processes. The organization recognizes the importance of  
 217 defining and developing common processes so that the success of one project  
 218 can be replicated by others.
- 219 – Level 3—Unified methodology. The organization recognizes the importance of  
 220 synergies that arise from integrating project management with other methodolo-  
 221 gies (quality management, process management, etc.).
- 222 – Level 4—Benchmarking. There is a realization that it is necessary to improve  
 223 corporate processes if the corporation wants to maintain its superiority over  
 224 competitors.
- 225 – Level 5—Continuous improvement. At this level, the company evaluates the  
 226 information obtained in the course of benchmarking, and must decide whether  
 227 this information will be used in the expansion (development) of a unified meth-  
 228 odology (Fairr, 2020).

## 229 **2.7 Organizational Project Management Maturity Model**

230 OPM3 (Organizational Project Management Maturity Model) is an organizational  
 231 project management maturity model. A standard for assessing the maturity of project  
 232 management organizations, published in 2003 by the American Project Management  
 233 Institute (PMI). The standard's goal is to identify problems in the project manage-  
 234 ment process and define a strategy for other employees to carry out operations  
 235 (Farrokh & Mansur, 2013).

236 OPM3 standard consists of three main elements:

- 237 – Knowledge of what is project management in an organization, how to determine  
 238 the level of maturity of project management, and what are the best practices  
 239 in PM.
- 240 – Evaluation (assessment) of the current level of maturity of project management.
- 241 – Means for improving project management processes to achieve a higher level of  
 242 maturity.

243 OPM3 includes:

- 244 – Body of knowledge—A book describing the basic concepts and structure of the  
 245 standard, the content of the model itself and the procedure for its use.
- 246 – The best practices base is a database and tools presented in electronic form. The  
 247 base is structured into three domains (project portfolio, program, and project) and  
 248 four levels of project formalization (processes are standardized, measurable,



controlled, and optimized). In addition, the base of best practices includes the 249  
so-called OE (Organizational Enablers), which are necessary for the organization 250  
to maintain the processes and organizational structure of project management 251  
(Bento et al., 2019, p. 3). 252

## 2.8 BPMM 253

The BPMM standard provides details on how to use its maturity model in practice. 254  
Including the description of 30 groups of processes, the creation and management of 255  
which will allow the organization to go from the first level to the fifth. Each group of 256  
processes is assigned a certain level of process maturity (starting with the second) 257  
and the area of application of efforts (thread). Thus, it is possible to track how each 258  
group of processes evolves as the level of process maturity increases (Kneuper, 259  
2018). 260

All approaches have their own characteristics and different criteria, so they need a 261  
detailed analysis before applying. 262

## 3 Results 263

In order to clearly understand at what level the company is located, special attributes 264  
of maturity are applied. Using a general approach to assessing the health of compa- 265  
nies, usually from 5 to 8 elements are identified. Key ones are presented below: 266

### 1. Buyers. 267

Provide an experience where customers see your organization as a digital partner 268  
and use their preferred communication channels to manage their future offline. 269

### 2. Strategy. 270

It focuses on how companies change or act to increase their competitive advan- 271  
tage through digital initiatives; is integrated into the overall business strategy. 272

### 3. Technology. 273

It supports the success of the digital strategy, helping to create, process, store, 274  
protect, and share data to meet customer needs at low cost and overhead. 275

### 4. Operations. 276

Execution and development of processes and activities using digital technologies 277  
for strategic management and increasing the efficiency and effectiveness of the 278  
company. 279

## 280 5. Culture.

281 Define and develop an organizational culture with leadership and talent processes  
282 to support the development of the digital maturity curve.

283 The state of each element allows you to give a complete picture of the state of the  
284 company as a whole (Maydanova & Ilin, 2019).

285 Not all companies have full knowledge of the digital spectrum, so such a  
286 comprehensive assessment provides an understanding of possible growth concepts,  
287 the introduction of new technologies, and methods for improving customer service.  
288 Knowing where the company is located, as well as its capabilities and needs, help  
289 determine a successful strategy (Ilin et al., 2020).

290 This model corresponds to a certain scale of attributes, with the help of which the  
291 state of maturity of the company is assessed.

292 Despite the high variety of methodologies and the development of new models,  
293 they are all built in such a way that it is impossible to miss any level of maturity, the  
294 transition through the levels is carried out in sequence. If the company decides to  
295 skip several levels, then the simultaneous implementation of several optimization  
296 tools can lead to unpredictable consequences, jeopardizing the entire project activ-  
297 ities of the company. Each maturity level is the basis for the rational and effective  
298 implementation of processes at subsequent levels.

299 If we consider the Russian market, then for complete digitalization Russian  
300 companies do not have the maturity of current business processes and qualified  
301 specialists (Zaychenko et al., 2018).

302 The introduction of new technologies can lead to significant changes in work  
303 processes, an increase in the qualifications of employees, the development of  
304 previously unused skills that require constant optimization and understanding of  
305 all the nuances and complexities of unforeseen technological problems. Assessment  
306 of the maturity of the process helps to understand how the processes are manageable,  
307 controlled, optimized. Each company in the process of its growth goes through  
308 certain stages, characterized by different cultural, management, and strategic  
309 characteristics.

310 There is a strong link between the transition from process maturity to digital. A  
311 company's readiness for technological transformation is determined by an assess-  
312 ment of the level of compliance with fundamental processes and their management,  
313 methods of using the accumulated information. Determining the level of maturity of  
314 the management system, one can characterize the stage of the company's readiness  
315 for digital transformation, identify the company's potential for development, choose  
316 the direction of modernization and growth.

317 It can be noted that a company, a company that works effectively and efficiently,  
318 achieves a stable state in the global market and has a high index of readiness for  
319 digital transformation (Borremans et al., 2018). The management of such companies  
320 is able to identify weaknesses that need improvements and innovations through IT  
321 technologies, organize monitoring of changes in the environment, increase satisfac-  
322 tion of the needs and expectations of stakeholders, and structure goals.

Based on the methodologies described earlier, a model of digital maturity of companies was formed, which, by analogy with the process, also includes 5 levels (Table 4).

Based on the previously described methodologies, a model of digital maturity of companies was formed, which, by analogy with the process, also includes 5 levels (Fig. 1):

- Level 0. Basic infrastructure. Technologies that do not give business effects by themselves, but are necessary for the introduction of advanced technologies.
- Level 1. Computerization. The process is automated by any IT system. Entering data into the system is carried out manually.
- Level 2. Connectivity. Operational data of the process enter the system automatically, without human intervention. Adjacent systems are integrated. The control action is carried out remotely.
- Level 3. Transparency. Key process indicators are visualized and tracked in real time.
- Level 4. Predictiveness. Predictive systems have been introduced to predict the future state.
- Level 5. Adaptability. Systems have been introduced that have a corrective effect on equipment either independently or within a corporate system to maximize efficiency.

To achieve the highest level or move from one to the other, two approaches were identified.

The first of them is the replication of existing developments and technologies. It is assumed that the company is using basic digital tools that give positive results, or there are best practices for future implementation with a high level of versatility that can be applied to most standardized enterprise processes.

This approach requires the transformation of the processes in individual production sections of the enterprise. It should be remembered that they can have different levels of maturity at the same time. Thus, there is a transformation based on the replication of digital tools that have been introduced and need to be improved, or have been considered by the management as planned implementations with a certain result for the enterprise.

A second approach to the improvement and implementation of IT technologies in enterprises is proposed, which takes as a basis a detailed analysis of processes down to operational activities. New modern technologies are taken as the basis for optimization. Thus, the output is a detailed program for the digital transformation of the main problematic processes to improve the efficiency of the enterprise.

It should be noted that both approaches are practically applicable and are chosen by the company depending on its transformation objectives and the level of digital maturity. One more feature can be noted—this is the application of the described approaches to digital transformation at the same time, analyzing both the instrumental basis of the company and the internal business processes.

Moreover, when assessing the digital maturity of an enterprise, it is important to consider and develop the following attributes:

t4.1 **Table 4** Digital maturity of a company

t4.2	Maturity level	Processes	Technologies	Employees
t4.3	Level 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Development of processes for autonomous decision making by systems.</li> <li>– Development of processes for regular forecasting and planning of future production.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Integration with external data of suppliers and buyers.</li> <li>– Using artificial intelligence systems.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Developing a culture of continuous improvement and innovation.</li> <li>– Implementation of responsible persons for the corresponding direction of predictive analytics and adaptability.</li> </ul>
t4.4	Level 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Development of audit processes for historical and current data and the use of the information obtained for optimization.</li> <li>– Introduction of procedures for regular optimization initiatives.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Real-time implementation of activity analysis systems that automatically perform analytics, generate warnings, and recommendations.</li> <li>– Implementation of digital twins for prototyping and optimization testing.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Organization of cross-functional sessions and data exchange sessions to work on urgent problems and optimization methods based on new data.</li> <li>– Attracting additional data analysts.</li> </ul>
t4.5	Level 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Formalization of data flow management processes.</li> <li>– Creation of processes for active exchange of knowledge and data between all project participants.</li> <li>– Creation of a cross-functional data exchange network.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Improving data accuracy, reducing the amount of useless information.</li> <li>– Implementation of data mining systems.</li> <li>– Integration of systems for data exchange.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Training employees to work with system data, various devices, and interfaces.</li> <li>– Development of “Digital” skills.</li> <li>– Development of a culture of knowledge management.</li> </ul>
t4.6	Level 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Formalization of the implementation of the “digital factory.”</li> <li>– Processes for attracting external actors to ensure connectivity.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Elaboration of directions of integration of existing systems and technologies with future elements of the “digital factory.”</li> <li>– Formation of a single information space and data streams, connection of systems.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Involvement of employees in the development of a target vision.</li> <li>– Separation of roles and areas of responsibility, attraction of employees with competencies in business, IT, and production.</li> </ul>
t4.7	Level 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Elimination of paper forms and media, execution of processes through system interfaces.</li> <li>– Data transfer automation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Implementation of basic production and enterprise management systems.</li> <li>– Integration of systems for automatic data transfer.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Employees trained to work with systems in their area of responsibility.</li> </ul>

(continued)

**Table 4** (continued)

Maturity level	Processes	Technologies	Employees
Level 0	– There is no direct influence on the processes.	– Creation of infrastructure for subsequent implementations of industrial Wi-Fi, local networks.	– Employees do not need additional digital competencies.

14.8  
14.9  
14.10



**Fig. 1** Levels of digital maturity (Source: authors' creation)

This figure will be printed in b/w

- Digital culture—An organizational culture that supports continuous improvement and innovation processes. 367 368
- Human Resources—Employees with the skills needed to be successful in a digital environment. 369 370
- Processes—Optimized business processes, as well as their constant analysis and monitoring, as well as the application of process management practices. 371 372
- Digital products—Digital solutions for business. 373
- Models—Constantly updated models, valid and included in the activity processes. 374 375
- Data—Data available in real time with the required level of security, complete and high-quality for making management decisions. 376 377
- Infrastructure and Tools—Modern and digital infrastructure to enable cross-device connectivity and integration (Dubgorn et al., 2019). 378 379

## 380 4 Conclusion and Discussion

381 The basis for the rapid transformation of a business is specific and understandable  
 382 goals, adjusted to changes, and strengthening of positions among competitors,  
 383 improving the quality of customer service.

384 This research examined several models for assessing the maturity of an enter-  
 385 prise. The challenge for every organization is to move from a lower tier to a higher  
 386 tier in order to maintain competitiveness, increase productivity, and improve the  
 387 quality of the products or services being developed.

388 In this work, an analogy was drawn between the process model of the enterprise  
 389 maturity level with the digital one. Five levels of digital maturity have been  
 390 identified, such as:

- 391 – Level 0. Basic infrastructure.
- 392 – Level 1. Computerization.
- 393 – Level 2. Connectivity.
- 394 – Level 3. Transparency.
- 395 – Level 4. Predictiveness.
- 396 – Level 5. Adaptability.

397 Moreover, digital transformation approaches have been reviewed. The first one is  
 398 based on replication of existing digital tools on the enterprise. The second—on the  
 399 detailed analysis of processes down to operational activities. Understanding the  
 400 current level of digital maturity is important for planning digital transformation  
 401 activities for an enterprise to migrate to a target image.

402 Thus, knowledge about the level of digital maturity, assessment of readiness for  
 403 digital transformation, the use of certain approaches to optimize activities help the  
 404 management in choosing management decisions for a successful business.

405 **Acknowledgments** The reported study was funded by RSCF according to the research project №  
 406 19-18-00452.

## 407 References

- 408 Al-Qutaish, R. E., & Abran, A. (2011). A maturity model of software product quality. *Journal of*  
 409 *Research and Practice in Information Technology*, 43(4), 307–327.
- 410 Bento, I., Gomes, J., & Romão, M. (2019). The relationship between OPM3 and Project Perform-  
 411 *ance: A multiple case study. The Journal of Modern Project Management*, 6(3), 47–57.
- 412 Borremans, A., Zaychenko, I., & Iliashenko, O. (2018). Digital economy. IT strategy of the  
 413 *company development. In I. Ilin & O. Kalinina (Eds.), MATEC Web of Conferences*,  
 414 170, (p. 01034). <https://doi.org/10.1051/mateconf/201817001034>
- 415 Brand, K., & Boonen, H. (2007). *IT governance based on CobiT® 4.1-A management guide*. Van  
 416 *Haren*.
- 417 Colli, M., et al. (2019). A maturity assessment approach for conceiving context-specific roadmaps  
 418 *in the Industry 4.0 era. Annual Reviews in Control*, 48, 165–177.

Dubgorn, A. S., et al. (2019). Analysis of digital business transformation tools. In *Proceedings of the 33rd International Business Information Management Association Conference. IBIMA 2019: Education Excellence and Innovation Management through Vision 2020* (pp. 9677–9682). Granada. 419-422

El Emam, K., & Birk, A. (2000). Validating the ISO/IEC 15504 measure of software requirements analysis process capability. *IEEE transactions on Software Engineering*, 26(6), 541–566. 423-424

Faifr, A. (2020). Use of project management maturity models as a evaluation framework for Project Risk Maturity Assessment. In *DOKBAT 2020-16th International Bata Conference for Ph. D. Students and Young Researchers*. Tomas Bata University in Zlín, Faculty of Management and Economics. 425-428

Farrokh, J., & Mansur, A. K. (2013). Project management maturity models and organizational project management maturity model (OPM3®): A critical morphological evaluation. *Project Management*, 2(7), 23–33. 429-431

Henriques, V. (2018). *Assessing the association between agile maturity model levels and perceived project success*. Master’s Thesis. University of Cape Town. 432-433

Ilin, I. V., Iliashenko, O. Y., & Levina, A. I. (2020). “Application of service-oriented approach to business process reengineering”. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Business Information Management Association Conference-Vision’*. 434-436

Kerzner, H. (2019). *Using the project management maturity model: Strategic planning for project management*. John Wiley & Sons. 437-438

Kneuper, R. (2018). Software process assessment and improvement. In *Software Processes and Life Cycle Models* (pp. 211–260). Springer. 439-440

Laksono, M. A., Budiardjo, E. K., & Ferdinansyah, A. (2019). Assessment of test maturity model: A comparative study for process improvement. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Software Engineering and Information Management*, pp. 110–118. 441-443

Maydanova, S., & Ilin, I. V. (2019). Strategic approach to global company digital transformation. In *Proceedings of the 33rd International Business Information Management Association Conference. IBIMA 2019: Education Excellence and Innovation Management through Vision 2020* (pp. 8818–8833). Granada. 444-447

Mesquida, A. L., et al. (2012). IT Service Management Process Improvement based on ISO/IEC 15504: A systematic review. *Information and Software Technology*, 54(3), 239–247. 448-449

Mitasiunas, A., & Novickis, L. (2011). Enterprise SPICE based education capability maturity model. In *International Conference on Business Informatics Research* (pp. 102–116). Springer. 450-451

Paulk, M. C. (2009). A history of the capability maturity model for software. *ASQ Software Quality Professional*, 12(1), 5–19. 452-453

Team, C. P. (2002). Capability maturity model® integration (CMMI SM), version 1.1. In *CMMI for systems engineering, software engineering, integrated product and process development, and supplier sourcing (CMMI-SE/SW/PPD/SS, VI. 1)*, 2. 454-456

Zaychenko, I. M., Ilin, I. V., & Lyovina, A. I. (2018). Enterprise architecture as a means of digital transformation of mining enterprises in the Arctic. 457-458

# Author Queries

Chapter No.: 514868\_1\_En

Query Refs.	Details Required	Author's response
AU1	A citation for Table 2–4 was inserted so that figure citations are in sequential order. Please check if appropriate. Otherwise, insert citation(s) in sequential order.	
AU2	Please check the sentence “For the process to reach...” for clarity and rephrase if necessary.	

Uncorrected Proof